

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DISTRICT OF MINNESOTA**

<i>IN RE: PORK ANTITRUST LITIGATION</i>	Case No. 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-HB
<i>In Re: Pork Antitrust Litigation</i>	Case No. 0:21-md-02998-JRT-HB
<p>This Document Relates To:</p> <p><i>Action Meat Distributors, Inc. et al v. Agri Stats, Inc. et al</i>, Case No. 0:21-cv-01454-JRT-HB (D. Minn.); and</p> <p><i>Giant Eagle Inc v. Agri Stats Inc. et al</i>, Case No. 0:21-cv-01874-JRT-HB (D. Minn.)</p>	

**[PROPOSED] ORDER OF DISMISSAL WITH PREJUDICE OF CLAIMS BY
THE ACTION MEAT DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFFS AND
DIRECT ACTION PLAINTIFF GIANT EAGLE, INC.
AGAINST DEFENDANT JBS USA FOOD COMPANY**

Pursuant to the Stipulation of Dismissal with Prejudice of Claims by the *Action Meat* Direct Action Plaintiffs (“*Action Meat* Plaintiffs”) and Direct Action Plaintiff Giant Eagle, Inc. (“Giant Eagle”) Against Defendant JBS USA Food Company (“JBS USA”) (ECF No. 1203), the Stipulation is approved, and **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT:**

1. This Order relates only to the *Action Meat* Plaintiffs’ and Giant Eagle’s claims in *IN RE PORK ANTITRUST LITIGATION*, Case. No. 0:18-cv-01776-JRT-HB; *In Re: Pork Antitrust Litigation*, Case No. 0:21-md-02998-JRT-HB (MDL No. 2998); *Action Meat Distributors, Inc. et al v. Agri Stats, Inc. et al*, Case No. 0:21-cv-01454-JRT-HB; and *Giant*

Eagle Inc v. Agri Stats Inc. et al, Case No. 0:21-cv-01874-JRT-HB (collectively referred to as “Actions”).

2. In accordance with Rule 41(a)(1)(A)(ii) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, the *Action Meat* Plaintiffs’ and Giant Eagle’s claims against JBS USA in the Actions are hereby **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE**, with each side bearing its own attorneys’ fees and costs.

3. This Order has no bearing on the *Action Meat* Plaintiffs’ or Giant Eagle’s claims against Defendants other than JBS USA.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: _____

John R. Tunheim
Chief Judge, United States District Court